Study of milling time impact on hydrogen desorption from LiAlH$_4$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ composites
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Abstract

LiAlH$_4$ was modified by mechanical milling and with the addition of 5 wt.% Fe$_2$O$_3$ in order to improve its hydrogen desorption properties. The composite was milled for 1, 3, 5, 7 or 15 min, and depending on the milling time, various phenomena took place. Up to a milling time of 5 min, the particle size of the composite decreases. Further milling leads to the particles agglomeration reaching the size of the starting material after 15 min. Moreover, the mechanical milling process leads to the transformation of AlH$_4^–$ to AlH$_6^3–$ structure as a result of partial hydrogen desorption. Hydrogen desorption during the milling is the most pronounced in the sample milled for 15 min, so this sample has only one hydrogen desorption peak in the temperature-programmed desorption measurements. Mechanical milling with the addition of Fe$_2$O$_3$ for up to 15 min improves LiAlH$_4$ hydrogen desorption properties as hydrogen desorption temperature and apparent activation energies decrease.
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I. Introduction

Metal and complex light hydrides are the best-fitted materials for hydrogen storage within the concept of hydrogen-based economy [1,2]. These materials meet the basic application requirements: low-cost, safe usage (stable compounds and composites based on them), and they are environmentally friendly (reversible materials with a few thousands of sorption cycles lifespan). However, as relatively stable compounds they exhibit some undesirable properties such as sluggish dehydrogenation kinetics and high hydrogen desorption temperature. Among various hydrides, LiAlH$_4$ (space group $P2_1/c$) emerged as an attractive candidate for solid-state hydrogen storage at moderate pressures and temperatures [3]. This compound decomposes in three steps, according to the following reactions [3,4]:

\[
\begin{align*}
3 \text{LiAlH}_4 & \rightarrow \text{Li}_3\text{AlH}_6 + 2\text{Al} + 3\text{H}_2 \quad \text{(R1)} \\
\text{Li}_3\text{AlH}_6 & \rightarrow 3 \text{LiH} + \text{Al} + \frac{3}{2}\text{H}_2 \quad \text{(R2)} \\
3 \text{LiH} + 3 \text{Al} & \rightarrow 3 \text{LiAl} + \frac{3}{2}\text{H}_2 \quad \text{(R3)}
\end{align*}
\]

The first reaction occurs in the temperature range of 150–175 °C, the second between 180–220 °C and the third one between 400–420 °C. The first two reactions are significant from the hydrogen storage point of view: i) both take place at a reasonably low temperature (R2 ends at 220 °C) and ii) the overall sum of their gravimetric hydrogen capacity is 7.9 wt.%. Thus, the reactions R1 and R2 are accessible for practical hydrogen storage. However, slow hydrogen desorption kinetics of the pure hydride and irreversibility of cycling are still bottlenecks for the on-board application of lithium-alanate.

$^*$Corresponding author: tel: +381 64 1745 363
e-mail: skumric@vin.bg.ac.rs

259
Nevertheless, LiAlH₄ is suitable for synthesizing the composites with 5 or 10 wt.% of additive. The additive should improve the dehydrogenation process of hydride without a significant decrease in storage capacity, so these composites could still meet the US DoE’s target of 5.5 wt.% H₂ storage capacity for practical application [5].

Various additives like pure metals [6–8], carbides [9,10], halides [11–15], carbon based materials [16], metallic oxides and oxide ceramics [17–24] and hydrides [12,25] were used in order to improve the hydrogen sorption properties of LiAlH₄. These improvements are achieved due to the reduction of the particle size of hydrides to the nanoscale, an increase in the dehydrogenation temperature is about 80 °C lower for the second stage (R2) when 5 mol% of Fe₂O₃ and Co₃O₄ are added to LiAlH₄. The addition of these oxides also improves the reaction kinetics. The composites release 7.1 wt.% of hydrogen in 70 min (at 120 °C), compared to the as-received LiAlH₄ that desorbs 0.3 wt.% of H₂ under the same conditions. So, the apparent activation energies (Eₐpp) for both desorption steps are considerably lower than in the pure LiAlH₄. Doping with Fe-Fe₃O₄ promotes formation of Fe-Al and Fe-AlOₓ intermetallics during dehydrogenation of LiAlH₄. These phases have beneficial impact on the hydrogen desorption kinetics by promoting diffusion of hydrogen ions [22]. Ismail et al. [21] have demonstrated that adding a small amount of TiO₂ to LiAlH₄ results in a significant 90 °C reduction in the decomposition temperature compared to the as-received LiAlH₄. The composite material starts releasing hydrogen at 60 °C, so dehydrogenation is completed below 200 °C, with approximately 7.5 wt.% of H₂ desorbed. Changing TiO₂ to Sr-titanate also decreases both the hydrogen release temperature and activation energies [23]. When added 7 wt.% of NiTiO₃@h-BN to LiAlH₄ the R1 starts at 68 °C, and activation energies for both R1 and R2 are significantly reduced. The absorption of hydrogen at 30 bar and 300 °C achieved approximately 1 wt.% [24]. In LiAlH₄ composite with 10 wt.% of K₂NbF₇ activation energies decreased for 24 (R1) and 26 kJ/mol (R2) compared to the as-milled LiAlH₄. Improvement in the desorption kinetics performance was attributed to the in situ formation of NbF₅, LiF and K or K-containing phases that appeared during the heating process [11]. On the other hand in LiAlH₄ doped with K₀.₅NiFe₂O₄, AlNi and LiF phases formed during dehydrogenation and improved its kinetics [15]. Similarly, by milling LiAlH₄ with 7 wt.% of NiFe₂O₄ [18], hydrogen desorption energies were reduced by approximately 60%. Desorption energy barrier decreased because in situ formed AlₓNiₓ accelerates the breakdown of Al-H bonding through the interfacial charge transfer and the dehybridization of Al-H cluster. It supports our previous findings that the charge transfer from LiAlH₄ and Li₃AlH₆ to Fe₃O₄ leads to weaker bonding of hydrogen atoms and improved hydrogen desorption performance of LiAlH₄ [26].

High-energy ball milling proved to be the best method for the composite synthesis and additives and defects introduction in the LiAlH₄ structure. However, composites often desorb less hydrogen than expected, especially under prolonged milling conditions [27]. During the milling process, the temperature in the milling chamber can significantly increase, reaching the temperature of R1 or even R2 leading to the degradation of hydride and the decrease in the hydrogen storage capacity of the material. Prevention of the hydride decomposition during the preparation was done in cryogenic ball-milling [12,13]. But this process requires the liquid nitrogen temperature. So, in this work, we studied the impact of the milling time on the hydride structure, possible degradation, and desorption properties in lithium alanate/iron(III)-oxide composites (5 wt.%).

II. Experimental

The LiAlH₄ + 5 wt.% Fe₂O₃ (labelled as LiFe) composites were synthesized by mechanochemical milling in high-energy ball mill SPEX 5100 using hardened steel ball and the ball to powder ratio (BPR) 10:1. The mass of the ball was 1 g, so 100 mg of composite was synthesized after one process. Used chemicals are Alfa Aesar LiAlH₄ (purity 97%) and catalyst grade Sigma Aldrich Fe₂O₃. The samples were milled for 1, 3, 5, 7 and 15 min. The sample labels are given in the Table 1. Handling of the samples and milling processes were done in the argon atmosphere.

Structural and phase characterization were done using Ultima IV Rigaku X-ray diffractometer. The diffractometer was equipped with Cu Kα1,2 radiation source, with voltage of 40.0kV and a current of 40.0 mA. Diffractograms were recorded in 20 range from 10 to 80°, with recording step of 0.02° and scanning rate of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample mark</th>
<th>LiAlH₄ [wt.%]</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃ [wt.%]</th>
<th>Milling time [min]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiAlH₄ as-received</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe5</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe7</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe15</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. The sample labels
2°/min. The morphology of materials surface and their microstructure were characterized by scanning electron microscope SEM, JEOL JSM 6460LV equipped with EDS INCA microanalysis. A quantitative particle size distribution was obtained by Malvern 2000 SM Mastersizer laser scattering particle size analysis system. The specified resolution range of the system was sub-μm to 2 mm. 2-propanol was used as a suspension media. To enhance the dispersion, all samples were ultrasonicated for 5 min prior to measurements. The same stirring speed and obscuration level were used for all samples.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was used for analysis of the pure and doped LiAlH₄ samples using a Perkin Elmer Spectrum Two FT-IR spectrometer using the pressed KBr pellets technique (mass ration 1:100). Range of the recording was from 800 to 4000 cm⁻¹ with a spectral resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. Thermal behaviour of the samples was investigated by temperature programmed desorption (TPD). A custom-built set-up for TPD was used. The composites of lithium alanates doped with iron(III)-oxide in the form of powders were put in the sample holder made of quartz. Quartz tube was placed inside an electrical furnace and coupled with an Extorr 3000 quadrupole mass spectrometer (Extorr Inc.). The signals, as partial pressures (torr) at 7 different m/z ratios were followed and simultaneously recorded as a function of time (s): 1 (H₂), 2 (H₂O), 17 (NH₃ or OH), 18 (H₂O), 28 (CO), 32 (O₂), 44 (CO₂). Up to 5 mg of the samples were placed in the quartz tube, outgassed to 10⁻⁷ torr and then subjected to TPD at a linear heating rate of 10 °C/min, from room temperature to 350 °C.

III. Results and discussion

3.1. Microstructural characterization

X-ray diffraction patterns of the as-received (unmilled) LiAlH₄, Fe₂O₃ and their composites LiAlH₄-5 wt.% Fe₂O₃ milled for 1, 3, 5, 7 and 15 min are shown in Fig. 1. All characteristic LiAlH₄ peaks are observed in the 2θ range from 20 to 50° and correspond to monoclinic unit cell (P2₁/c) [28]. The as-received hydride has also small amount of Al phase impurity, whose characteristic maxima are at 38.5 and 44.5° [27]. There are no visible peaks from oxide or hydroxide impurities [29,30]. The composite samples show diffraction maxima originating from LiAlH₄ and Fe₂O₃ phase. As milling time increases the intensity of characteristic lithium-alanate phase maxima decreases and becomes broader. After 15 min of high energy milling, there are no visible LiAlH₄ phase peaks. On the other hand, intensity of aluminium peaks increases, indicating the hydride decomposition. There is also broad peak in the sample milled for 15 min at approximately 22° that could correspond to Li₃AlH₆ phase maxima at 21.95° and 22.55° [27]. The structure of LiAlH₄ is prone to destabilization by mechanochemical treatment, so this phase transformation happens if desorption of H₂ (reaction R1) takes place during milling [27,28]. During this transformation lithium hexahydridoaluminate (Li₃AlH₆) and Al phases are gradually generated. The characteristic Fe₂O₃ peaks also become more pronounced with the milling time increase [17].

The reduction in hydride crystallite size in the composite upon milling for various times is calculated by Debye-Scherrer equation from the broadening of 101 peak and the results are presented in Table 2 [31]. It can be seen that 3 min of milling leads to the decrease in crystallite size for 32%, while further milling does not have any effect. The shape of the unmilled LiAlH₄ particles is relatively regular with sharp edges that resemble the monoclinic crystal lattice of hydride (Fig. 2a). The particle size distribution is uniform. The ball milling impact on the hydride particle morphology is visible in Figs. 2c,d for the composite milled for 5 min. Milling

![Figure 1. XRD patterns of unmilled LiAlH₄ and Fe₂O₃ phases and LiAlH₄-Fe₂O₃ composites milled for 1 (LiFe1), 3 (LiFe3), 5 (LiFe5), 7 (LiFe7) and 15 min (LiFe15)](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>2θ [°]</th>
<th>d [Å]</th>
<th>D [nm]</th>
<th>Mean particle size [μm] (left/right peak)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiAlH₄</td>
<td>22.68</td>
<td>3.917</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe1</td>
<td>22.74</td>
<td>3.907</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12/130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe3</td>
<td>22.79</td>
<td>3.899</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>6/145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe5</td>
<td>22.77</td>
<td>3.902</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5/20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe7</td>
<td>22.79</td>
<td>3.899</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiFe15</td>
<td>22.79</td>
<td>3.899</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. SEM micrographs of unmilled LiAlH$_4$ (a and b) and LiAlH$_4$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ composite milled for 5 min - LiFe5 (c and d)

led to the significant refinement of particles. Milled particles have irregular shape with sponge-like structure and broad particle size distribution. There is also visible agglomeration of particles in the milled sample.

The results of the particle size distribution obtained by laser scattering (LS) measurements are presented in Fig. 3. In the unmilled LiAlH$_4$ the 99% of sample volume consists of particles in the range between 1 and 100 µm with the mean particle size of 22 µm. Distribution of particles is not fully monomodal, but there is a shoulder in the range between 1 and 10 µm with the maximum at 3 µm. The sample LiFe1 shows bimodal distribution of particles in the range from 300 nm to 300 µm, where 73% of the volume consists of smaller particles with the mean size of 12 µm while 27% of particles are between 100 and 300 µm, with the mean particle size of 130 µm. In comparison to the unmilled LiAlH$_4$, main peak is shifted to smaller particles in the range from 22 to 12 µm. The composite milled for 3 min, LiFe3, shows also bimodal distribution: 86% of particle volume is in the range from 1 to 100 µm with the mean particle size of 6 µm, while 14% of volume is between 100 and 200 µm (mean particle size 145 µm). In the LiFe5 sample 0.2% of particles volume is in the range from 250 nm to 1 µm, 78% in the range from 1 to 100 µm and 21.8% between 100 µm to 300 µm. Distribution is bimodal with the two peaks maxima at 5 and 20 µm. PSD analysis of the LiFe7 shows only one peak which characterizes very high symmetry (bell-like shape). Almost all the particles are distributed in the range from 1 to 100 µm (97.2% of particles) and rest of particles are distributed in range from 100 to 160 µm (2.8% of particles). Mean particle size is 12.5 µm. The LiFe15 composite has also monomodal distribution, but the mean particle size is 23 µm. All particles are distributed in the range from 0.5 to 100 µm. The milling process leads to the decrease of particle size up to 5 min
milling, afterwards particles start to agglomerate. Up to 7 min milling there are two types of particles: bigger and smaller than 100 µm. It is interesting that after 7 min of milling, distribution is monomodal and almost without particles bigger than 100 µm, which is similar in the sample milled for 15 min. The mean particle size in the composite milled for 15 min is similar to the mean particle size of the starting hydride.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the as-received LiAlH₄ and milled LiAlH₄-5 wt.% Fe₂O₃ composites are shown in Fig. 4. Whole spectrum is in the 800–2000 cm⁻¹ wavenumber range. LiAlH₄ has two active infrared vibrations: Al–H stretching mode at 1641 cm⁻¹ and Li–Al–H bending mode at 885 cm⁻¹ [17]. As milling starts, the stretching mode becomes less pronounced with the appearance of Al–H stretching mode from hexahydridoaluminate ions (AlH₃⁶⁻) in Li₃AlH₆ at 1385 and 1500 cm⁻¹ [14,17]. This peak increases with the milling time indicating decomposition of LiAlH₄ via reaction R1 which is in accordance with XRD findings. So, the addition of Fe₂O₃ facilitates the H₂ release from LiAlH₄ and the dehydrogenation process begins very fast when milling in high energy mill starts.

![Figure 4. FTIR of the unmilled LiAlH₄ and LiAlH₄-5 wt.% Fe₂O₃ composites milled for 1 (LiFe1), 3 (LiFe3), 5 (LiFe5), 7 (LiFe7) and 15 min (LiFe15) (select image)](image)

3.2. Analysis of dehydrogenation properties

To study the dehydrogenation properties of the pure LiAlH₄ and composite materials milled for different times, TPD-MS was done. Desorption of hydrogen obtained from TPD measurements is presented in Fig. 5. As shown in Fig. 5, there are two distinguished H₂ desorption maxima for the unmilled LiAlH₄ at 137 (LT) and 143 °C (HT) corresponding to the reactions R1 and R2, respectively. There is also very small peak at 132.5 °C which could originate from the smaller particles (1–10 µm) that form shoulder in particle size distribution (Fig. 2) in this sample. The addition of 5 wt.% Fe₂O₃ by mechanical milling leads to the decrease in hydrogen desorption temperature and the dependence of hydrogen desorption temperature on time can be noticed. The one minute milled LiAlH₄-5 wt.% Fe₂O₃ composite, LiFe1, releases hydrogen at 124 and 133 °C, but the first peak at lower temperature (LT) is smaller than the second one.

After 3 min of milling, the composite releases hydrogen at 126 and 137 °C with the higher amount of hydrogen desorbed by reaction R1. The further milling time increase, up to 15 min, does not influence the desorption temperature to a large extent, but it changes the portions of hydrogen released by reactions R1 and R2. Finally, 15 min of milling leads to the one step hydrogen desorption. As XRD and FTIR analyses showed, there is certain H₂ release during the milling process by reaction R1, where the destabilized structure of LiAlH₄ phase decomposes to lithium hexahydridoaluminate phase [27]. This is the most pronounced in the composite milled for 15 min, so the TPD single peak can be attributed to the hydrogen release completely by only reaction R2.

Kinetics and mechanism of the solid-state reactions can be modelled with a few models depending on the sorption reaction limiting step [32]. Šestak and Berggren [33] explored the possibility to obtain the

![Figure 5. Temperature programmed desorption (TPD) spectra of the unmilled LiAlH₄ and LiAlH₄-5 wt.% Fe₂O₃ composites milled for 1 (LiFe1), 3 (LiFe3), 5 (LiFe5), 7 (LiFe7), and 15 min (LiFe15) (select image)](image)
Figure 6. Temperature evolution of the reacted fraction ($\theta$) corresponding to LiAlH$_4$ hydrogen desorption, obtained by integration of TPD peaks for unmilled hydride (LiAlH$_4$) and composite LiFe1 milled for 1 min (insert: experimental data and the best fit obtained for nucleation and growth model $g(\theta) = [-\ln(1 - \theta)]^{1/2}$)

Mechanism of thermal decomposition process from the non-isothermal curves. Detailed application of this method on the reaction of hydrogen desorption from hydrides is given in our previous work [32]. Desorption curves of the LiAlH$_4$ and its composites with Fe$_2$O$_3$, have been analysed using different kinetic models. The analysis of TPD curves indicates that the $\mathrm{H}_2$ desorption from the LiAlH$_4$ and its composites with Fe$_2$O$_3$ are controlled by nucleation and growth mechanisms with the Avrami parameter $n = 2$ over a coverage $\theta$ range from 0.3 to 0.8 (Fig. 6).

Parameter $n = 2$ corresponds to two-dimensional (2D) nuclei growth. In this model, the reacted fraction $\theta$ can be related to temperature ($T$) by:

$$\ln\left[\frac{-\ln(1 - \theta)}{T^2}\right] = f\left(\frac{1000}{T}\right)$$

(1)

Calculated apparent activation energies $E_{\text{app}}$ (kJ/mol) for all samples and both hydrogen desorption steps (reactions R1 and R2) are given in Table 3.

It can be seen that mechanical milling of the composite with Fe$_2$O$_3$ even as short as one minute significantly improves the kinetics of reactions R1 and R2. The lowest desorption temperatures and apparent activation energies $E_{\text{app}}$ are in the composite sample milled for five minutes. The values of apparent activation energies are comparable to the ones found in the literature obtained by the Kissinger method (Table 4). In our previous work, we have discussed the role of Fe$_2$O$_3$ on hydrogen desorption from LiAlH$_4$ [26]. We showed that a significant portion of the iron ions has changed their valence state from Fe$^{3+}$ to the Fe$^0$ and Fe$^{2+}$ after hydride decomposition, so there is a transfer of an electron to Fe$_2$O$_3$ during hydrogen desorption. The effect of charge transfer from LiAlH$_4$ and Li$_2$AlH$_6$ to Fe$_2$O$_3$ leads to weaker bonding of hydrogen atoms and easier hydrogen desorption.

### IV. Conclusions

The presented results lead to conclusion that the composite of LiAlH$_4$ with Fe$_2$O$_3$ milled for as short as 1 min in a high energy mill shows significantly better desorption properties than the unmilled LiAlH$_4$, i.e. i) temperature peaks of hydrogen desorption are shifted to lower positions for all composites; ii) increase of milling time

| Table 3. $\mathrm{H}_2$ desorption temperature and apparent activation energy obtained from TPD measurements |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Sample | Temperature (LT) [°C] | $E_{\text{app},LT}$ [kJ/mol] | Temperature (HT) [°C] | $E_{\text{app},HT}$ [kJ/mol] |
| LiAlH$_4$ | 137 | 91 ± 1 | 143 | 98 ± 1 |
| LiFe1 | 124 | 66 ± 2 | 133 | 83.2 ± 0.3 |
| LiFe3 | 126 | 77 ± 1 | 137 | 88 ± 0.5 |
| LiFe5 | 125 | 55 ± 2 | 130 | 69 ± 3 |
| LiFe7 | 127 | 72 ± 1 | 139 | 89 ± 1 |
| LiFe15 | 129 | 70 ± 3 | - | - |

| Table 4. Apparent activation energy ($E_{\text{app}}$) of as-received LiAlH$_4$ and LiAlH$_4$ doped with various catalysts, calculated by the Kissinger method |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $E_{\text{app}}$ [kJ/mol] (LT/HT) | Compound | Experimental conditions | Reference |
| 81/108 | LiAlH$_4$ | As received | [8] |
| 89/103 | LiAlH$_4$ - 2 mol% TiCl$_3$ *1/3AlCl$_3$ | Milled for 1 min | [27] |
| 100/130 | LiAlH$_4$ | Milled for 90 min | |
| 80/100 | LiAlH$_4$ - 5 wt.% 2 DTi$_2$C$_3$ | Milled for 10 h | [10] |
| 111/100 | LiAlH$_4$ | As received | [34] |
| 92.5/92 | LiAlH$_4$ | Milled for 15 min | |
| 102/110 | LiAlH$_4$ | As received | |
| 84/96 | LiAlH$_4$ - 5 wt.% Fe$_2$O$_3$ | Milled for 60 min | [20] |
| 95/172 | LiAlH$_4$ | Milled for 30 min | [17] |
| 54/86 | LiAlH$_4$ - 5 mol% Fe$_2$O$_3$ | Milled for 30 min | |
| 56/93 | LiAlH$_4$ - 5 mol% Cr$_2$O$_3$ | Milled for 30 min | |
causes the change in the intensity of two characteristic hydrogen desorption peaks; iii) the activation energy for all milled compositions is lower in comparison to the unmilled LiAlH₄. During the process of high energy mechanical milling, hydrogen desorption by R1 reaction starts, so the composites milled for 15 min have only one desorption maxima corresponding to R2 reaction. Using the ball milling approach to synthesize the nanostructured hydrides can significantly enhance the thermodynamics and kinetics of dehydrogenation. However, there is necessary to apply the minimal milling time. This minimal milling time should be long enough to assure the best composite properties, but not to start the hydrogen desorption. For explored milling conditions (experimental part) optimal results are obtained in the sample milled for 5 min. It is assumed that this milling time is long enough to disperse the catalyst homogeneously around LiAlH₄ particles and also cause the maximal reduction in the particle size. Further milling leads to the particle agglomeration, but more importantly to the significant hydrogen release during milling.
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