Fabrication of Al$_2$O$_3$-Nb$_2$O$_5$-LiF-ZrO$_2$ FGMs by SPS method: Microstructural evaluation, dynamic and sintering behaviour
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Abstract

For the first time, alumina functionally graded materials (FGMs) with additions of niobium oxide, lithium fluoride and zirconia were produced by spark plasma sintering (SPS) and their sintering behaviour and dynamic properties were studied aiming to evaluate possibility of their use as ballistic shielding. Six groups of alumina samples with different layer compositions were produced by SPS at 1400 $^\circ$C/5 min. The samples were characterized by dilatometry, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and Hopkinson split bar method. The composition with the zirconia addition exhibited lower shrinkage rates at higher temperatures than the groups without zirconia, which promoted small sample shrinkage, resulting in lower density and higher porosity. The dynamic test showed that the alumina FGMs with layer containing LiF had the highest strain and strain rate values, exhibiting that the presence of continuous gradients in the composition positively affects the ceramic properties. Densification, layer change and cracks propagating through the material layers were also analysed by SEM analyses.
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I. Introduction

Functionally graded materials (FGMs) are heterogeneous materials, characterized by multi-phase properties that vary gradually (i.e. microstructure and mechanical properties, etc.). Heterogeneous materials refer to objects with different material compositions or structures. Some of the most common applications of FGMs are sensors, solar panels, semiconductors, refractories, automobiles, etc. [1–3].

Currently, ceramics have predominantly been used as a protective material for protective applications such as helicopters, armoured vehicles and bulletproof vests [4,5]. Several types of research have verified that ceramics have low density, high strength and high hardness, but are prone to crack growth, thus influencing their practicality. Therefore, new studies began to explore materials to increase the toughness of ceramics [6–8]. A known fact is that the increase in the toughness of ceramics usually decreases its strength. However, advances in research involving ceramics allowed the hardening of ceramics combined with an increase in ballistic resistance [9].

The use of ceramic FGMs in ballistic applications is a potential opportunity for improving the original properties of ceramics. From the compositional variation and microstructural control along the thickness or volume of the ceramics, the variations in properties can lead to the development of new materials that can produce lighter protection equipment and are resistant to ballistic impacts [10].

Since 2001, the US Department of Defence has allocated a large annual budget for FGMs research to develop lighter and stronger protective equipment. Custom morphologies and structural properties, such as
physical and mechanical gradients of specific direction, make FGMs stand out among all composites. In terms of choice of armour materials, FGMs also have the following merits: FGMs have better impact performance than anti-multiple projectiles composites, as the gradient structure can reduce the impedance mismatch of different materials; the existence of a gradient structure can alter the propagation and reflection of the shockwave [11]; FGMs have an increased resistance to crack growth behaviour [12]. Several experimental approaches have been applied in the investigation of FGMs in ballistic applications, including fabrication methods, impact response, ballistic testing, crack propagation etc. [13]. The most common methods in the fabrication of ceramic FGMs are spark plasma sintering (SPS) and hot pressing (HP), techniques that enable good sinterability of advanced ceramics [14–17].

Alumina is one of the most used ceramics in ballistic protection, as it has a low cost and does not require complex processing [18]. Studies on FGMs for ballistic protection have advanced in recent years, where a study of the dynamic properties of these materials has been performed to evaluate the performance of ceramics at high strain rates (above 10^4 s^-1) [19–21]. However, the studies mostly contemplate ceramics such as TiB2, SiC, AlN and Si3N4, therefore, there are few reports on the alumina-based FGMs aiming at ballistic application.

In the current study, the production and characterization of FGM samples based on alumina reinforced with niobium oxide, zirconia and lithium fluoride were investigated taking into account the variation of layers along with the material thickness. The main motivation of the study was to investigate sintering behaviour of the alumina-based FGMs and their response to the dynamic test, as well as to evaluate a potential FGM for a future ballistic application.

II. Experimental

2.1. Raw materials

In this study, Al2O3 powder (Treibacher Scheifmittel) was used as a matrix material for the FGMs and Nb2O5 (Companhia Brasileira de Metalurgia e Mineração - CBMM), LiF (Dinânica) and ZrO2 (Tosoh Corporation) were used as sintering additives. PEG (Vetec) was used to give mechanical strength to green bodies. The starting materials were used to prepare 4 different alumina-based compositions (Table 1).

2.2. Samples processing

The corresponding amounts of raw materials were mixed in an alumina jar. Deionized water in a 1:1 ratio with the precursor mixtures was used to facilitate homogenization, in addition to the insertion of alumina balls used for better powder comminution. Milling and mixing were carried out in a ball mill for a period of 8 h, followed by drying at 80 °C for 48 h. The mixtures were manually de-agglomerated using a pestle and mortar and sieved with a 42-mesh sieve to obtain the desired particle size.

The samples were sintered using a spark plasma sintering (SPS) apparatus (model SPS – 211 LX, DR. Sinter Lab™). Scheme of SPS process is shown in Fig. 1a. Six groups of alumina based FGMs were produced using different compositions given in Table 1. The groups have 1 to 4 layers with compositional variation across layers, as shown in Table 2.

The powders were inserted into a graphite mould (Ø10 mm) and the FGM samples were prepared according to scheme shown in Figure 1b. Ceramic disks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Al2O3 [wt.%]</th>
<th>Nb2O5 [wt.%]</th>
<th>LiF [wt.%]</th>
<th>ZrO2 [wt.%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>95.75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>95.50</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>92.48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Sample compositions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Number of layers</th>
<th>Composition (Table 1)</th>
<th>Thickness [mm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F-C1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-C3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-C1/3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-C1/2/3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/2/3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-C1/3/4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/3/4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-C1/2/3/4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/2/3/4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Definition of alumina based FGM groups

Figure 1. Schematic of SPS process (a) and used steps in the preparation of FGM samples.
with total mass of 2 g were produced, divided equally between the layers of the FGMs. Initially, the powders of the first composition were inserted into the graphite mould, a pressure of 50 MPa was applied to the mould, coupled with other parameters such as sintering time of 5 min and sintering temperature of 1400 °C. The heating rate was 65 °C/min. The current was turned off according to the retention time and the cooling rate corresponds to the natural cooling of the furnace. Subsequently, the samples, having thickness of 6 mm and diameter of 10 mm, were removed from the graphite mould.

2.3. Characterization

The bulk density measurements were conducted on the sintered samples using Archimedes’ principle, using an analytical balance with an attached density kit. The bulk density of the samples (ρ) was obtained by Eq. 1:

$$\rho = \frac{M_{dry}}{M_{dry} - M_{wet}} \cdot \rho_{liquid}$$ (1)

where $M_{dry}$ and $M_{wet}$ are masses of the sintered samples in air and liquid, respectively, and $\rho_{liquid}$ is the density of water at room temperature.

The porosity ($P_0$) of sintered samples was obtained by employing Eq. 2:

$$P_0 = \frac{m_2 - m_1}{m_2 - m_3} \cdot 100$$ (2)

where, $m_1$, $m_2$ and $m_3$ are mass of the samples weighed after drying, mass of wet sample in air and mass of the sample in liquid, respectively.

Dilatometric studies were performed in a dilatometer (Netzsch, DIL, 402 E/T) with a 5 °C/min heating rate up to 1500 °C/1 h, in flowing argon (1 atm) followed by cooling with a rate of 10 °C/min.

The fractured surfaces of the samples were analysed using a Quanta FEG 250 FEI SEM microscope (Hillsboro, USA). The equipment was used with secondary electrons (SE) and back-scattered electrons diffraction (BSED) detectors at an acceleration voltage of 15 and 30 kV, respectively. The fractured samples were coated with gold in the Leica Ace600 equipment (Wetzlar, Germany).

The Hopkinson bar consists of an incident bar and a transmitter bar, with a specimen placed between them and a striker bar that produces an impact on the incident bar to generate a longitudinal compressive pulse that propagates towards the specimen (Fig. 2) [22]. The pulse is partially reflected at the border of the incident bar and partially transmitted through the specimen. In this case, the diametral loading generates tension perpendicular to the load plane, which eventually causes the specimen to split [23].

![Figure 2. Schematic of a split Hopkinson pressure bar with high-strength steel bars](image)

The strain records of the incident, reflected and transmitted pulses are used to calculate the corresponding stress pulses and the tensile stress in the loading plane, which are derived from Eq. 3:

$$\sigma = \frac{2P}{\pi \cdot W \cdot D}$$ (3)

where $P$ is the load transmitted through the cylinder and $W$ and $D$ are respectively the width and diameter of the cylinder. $P$ is in turn calculated from the transmitted stress. The strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is obtained using Eq. 4:

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{dc}{dt}$$ (4)

where $dc$ is the derivative of the deformation obtained from the dynamic test and the derivative is restricted to the linear ramp-up of the stress history.

III. Results and discussion

3.1. Sintering behaviour

Linear shrinkages of all the samples, determined from dilatometric experiments, are shown in Fig. 3. It can be seen that at the dwell temperature (1500 °C) there is no shrinkage, indicating that the densification process in all samples at this temperature is hindered. The expansion curves of the samples were similar, except for the composition 2, which had a reduction in its softening point at 763 °C (Fig. 3b), where the onset of shrinkage occurred at 956 °C and its maximum retraction point occurred at 1171 °C. For the composition 1, the maximum shrinkage peak appeared at 1239 °C. The composition 3, which presents only a variation in the LiF content, compared to the composition 2, exhibits a decrease in temperature where the maximum shrinkage peak occurs, appearing at 1140 °C. The composition 4 showed the beginning of the shrinkage process at 1095 °C, reaching its maximum point at the end of the test (1470 °C). The retraction of the composition 4 occurred at a temperature lower than that reported by Surzhikov et al. [24] who found in their work the maximum shrinkage for Al$_2$O$_3$-ZrO$_2$ at $T = 1550$ °C.

Figure 4 shows the evolution of shrinkage during SPS process, recorded in situ for the different FGM groups. Observing the shrinkage curves during sintering, it can be seen that with the increase of temperature, shrinkage of the ceramic samples also increases. The shrink-
Figure 3. Dilatometric results: a) linear shrinkage versus temperature and b) linear shrinkage rate versus temperature.

Figure 4. Shrinkage curves recorded during SPS processing.

groups F-C1/3 to F-C1/2/3/4 are not homogeneous, the increase in the number of FGM layers caused a reduction in the density of these groups, even with SPS technique which allows to obtain high relative densities, close or equal to the theoretical densities of the materials. SPS technique allowed a high densification of the group F-C1, which presented a density higher than the value found in the work of Gomes et al. [26]. They prepared alumina ceramics with similar composition, but used uniaxial cold press and pressureless sintering. The F-C3 group has density similar to the F-C1. The addition of LiF as a sintering additive had no significant effect on the densification of the Al$_2$O$_3$-Nb$_2$O$_5$ composite, opposite to the literature data, which reported an increase in densification with small additions of LiF [27,28]. However, the values obtained through SPS are higher than the results obtained by Santos et al. [27] and Silveira et al. [28], where both works used the same composition of the F-C3 group. To obtain higher densities of Al$_2$O$_3$ with SPS technique, it is necessary to make adjustments to the adopted pressure. Wang et al. [29] reported in their study that the increase in internal pressure using SPS technique results in a gain in alumina densification, obtaining values close to the theoretical density. The dwell time at the sintering level also affects the relative density of Al$_2$O$_3$.

The FGMs samples (groups F-C1/3, F-C1/2/3, F-C1/3/4 and F-C1/2/3/4) showed a reduction in density when compared to the F-C1 and F-C3 groups. The increase in the number of layers resulted in a density reduction of the FGMs, as observed for the F-C1/2/3/4 sample, which has relative density of 88.32 %TD. Dur-

Table 3. Bulk density $\rho$, theoretical density $\rho_{\text{theo}}$, relative density $\rho_r$ and porosity $P_0$ of FGMs sintered samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>$\rho$ [g/cm$^3$]</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{theo}}$ [g/cm$^3$]</th>
<th>$\rho_r$ [%TD]</th>
<th>$P_0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F-C1</td>
<td>3.78 ± 0.11</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>95.07 ± 2.76</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-C3</td>
<td>3.77 ± 0.14</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>95.00 ± 3.82</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-C1/3</td>
<td>3.59 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>90.35 ± 0.50</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-C1/2/3</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.08</td>
<td>3.97</td>
<td>90.91 ± 2.02</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-C1/3/4</td>
<td>3.62 ± 0.09</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>90.07 ± 2.24</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-C1/2/3/4</td>
<td>3.54 ± 0.14</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>88.32 ± 3.58</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The shrinkage of each layer occurs due to a difference in composition, where there is a shift from one layer to the next from the compound $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$ to $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{ZrO}_2$, producing a discontinuous gradient [30].

The F-C1 group has the lowest porosity and the F-C1/3 sample has the highest porosity in comparison to all fabricated samples. Even with this difference in porosity varying between 0.69 and 1.87%, all groups had a low amount of pores. This means that the present porosity is related to the presence of closed pores. This is an important and positive factor, as the open pores are connected to the surface, impairing the mechanical strength of ceramics, as many fractures are initiated in open pores [31].

### 3.2. Dynamic properties

Figure 5 shows the stress vs. strain curves and strain rate vs. time curves obtained with the Hopkinson bar method. The NBR 15000 ABNT [32] standard defines and assesses conditions for ballistic materials, where there are different levels related to weapon calibre, projectile velocity, among other criteria. Based on level III of the standard (7.62 × 51 mm ammunition), the tensile strength ($\sigma$) results obtained in the test and its deformation rate were analysed and compared. The results are shown in Table 4.

In a multi-layer shielding system, the main purpose of the ceramic material is to absorb most of the projectile’s kinetic energy. For this, a combination of factors is necessary, including the mechanical strength limit measured in this case as rupture stress or fracture stress, preferably greater than 200 MPa [33]. This should be combined with the propagation of stress waves from the ballistic impact measured in the form of strain rate, preferably in the intermediate range around 1000 s$^{-1}$ [34].

The results in Table 4 indicate that the groups F-C1/2/3 and F-C1/3 had the highest stresses among all groups, as well as the highest deformation rates. These two groups have higher stresses than those of the single-layer F-C1 and F-C3 groups. The FGMs formed by different $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$ and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{Nb}_2\text{O}_5$-$\text{LiF}$ compositions resulted in better dynamic behaviour when compared with the single-layer materials (F-C1 and F-C3) and the FGMs with layers of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$-$\text{ZrO}_2$ compounds. The addition of $\text{ZrO}_2$ (the groups F-C1/3/4 and F-C1/2/3/4) resulted in FGMs with low densification and lower weaker dynamic behaviour than other groups. The higher number of layers present in the material plus the addition of a layer with another compound caused a difference in the shrinkage of layers during the sintering, causing discontinuous gradients.

Internal stresses caused by the variation of mechanical and thermal properties at interfaces between two different materials can affect the implementation of FGMs. However, these stresses can be reduced and redistributed in a planned way, incorporating an intermediate layer between the two materials [35].

### 3.3. Microstructural analysis

SEM micrographs of the fractured surfaces of the samples are shown in Fig. 6. It is possible to observe the absence of pores between the grains of the sintered sample F-C1 (Fig. 6a). The sample F-C3, has also microstructure with high density (Fig. 6b). These two groups of samples presented a grain growth more expressed than in other groups, making the sintering of these homogeneous single-layer groups more effective. Figure 6c shows the microstructure of the sample F-C1/3 consisting of two layers with composition...
Figure 6. SEM micrographs of the fractured surfaces of the analysed samples: a) F-C1, b) F-C3, c) F-C1/3, d) F-C1/2/3, e) F-C1/3/4 and f) F-C1/2/3/4

1 (96 wt.% Al₂O₃ - 4 wt.% Nb₂O₅) and composition 2 (95.5 wt.% Al₂O₃ - 4 wt.% Nb₂O₅ - 0.5 wt.% LiF). The region with the composition 1 shows a slight increase in densification when compared to the layer with the composition 3, which shows white regions that may be an indication of the formation of LiNbO₃ or Nb₂O₅F, which is formed by diffusion during sintering [28,36]. The grain growth of the layer with the composition 1 is larger than the layer with the composition 3, but the grain growth was not as significant as that exhibited in the F-C1 and F-C3 groups. The different thermal contractions between the two compositions may have acted as a barrier to grain growth [37]. Figure 6d shows the microstructure of the three-layer sample F-C1/2/3. It is possible to observe the interface of the layers with the compositions 2 and 3. The region with predominance of the composition 2 (95.75 wt.% Al₂O₃ - 4 wt.% Nb₂O₅ - 0.25 wt.% LiF) presents a behaviour similar to that found in the composition 1, where grain growth occurs with the possible presence of eutectic phases (Nb₂O₅F and LiNbO₃) in the grain boundaries (white regions). The increase in LiF content caused a decrease in grain growth. In addition, the increase in the number of layers caused an increase in the total porosity of the sample, in which one notices the presence of small pores along the entire surface of the sample. Figure 6e shows the surface of the layer containing composition 4 (92.48 wt.% Al₂O₃ - 7.52 wt.% ZrO₂) of the three-layer sample F-C1/3/4. The combination of 3 layers with the abrupt composition change caused a high concentration of pores, as it can be seen in the SEM image. This high porosity content added to the discontinuous gradient formed by the layer change with the compositions 3 and 4 collaborated to reduce its performance in the FGM in the Hopkinson split bar test. Figure 6f illustrates the microstructure of the four-layer sample F-C1/2/3/4. In the SEM image the presence of intergranular cracks is seen, arising from internal stresses and different thermal shrinkage during sintering. The addition of 4 layers in the FGM caused an increase in the maximum stress and deformation rate, where the higher number of layers may have contributed more to this phenomenon. The inclusion of the several different layers influenced the propagation of shockwaves through the layers.

Figure 7 shows the fracture surface of the sample F-C1/2/3/4 after the Hopkinson split bar test, where the crack propagated through the layers. At the layer transition, the crack splits. In order to solve certain discontinuity problems between the layers, a potential solution is to investigate intermediate layers consisting of the compound Al₂O₃-ZrO₂-Nb₂O₅ and/or Al₂O₃-ZrO₂-LiF. The addition of these suggested compounds as an intermediate layer in the FGM could possibly minimize the effects of different thermal contractions, promoting a continuous transition in the microstructure and improving the mechanical and dynamic performance of FGMs.

IV. Conclusions

In this work, FGMs with alumina layers having different compositions, i.e. modified with Nb₂O₅, LiF, and ZrO₂, were produced. The samples were sintered by
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